



UNITED STA **DEPARTMENT O** Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND THATEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT 7.25 Table 08/506,851 07/25/95 SMITH **EXAMINER** PHILLIPS Ca: The Lucium de . - & deute unde, CHARLES A MCCLURE Ja (2002) PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 1168 TAMPA FL 33601 (b) The Tuye, Thus this DATE MAILED 105 page 1 08 beach Courty of 11. pathiad base on sale 10 th m mussiv, more than our year puttor wit (08/28/96 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. 11 is the Introd States. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Claims 1, 3, 1 10 and 12 and rejected unity 35 0.8.0. **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY** Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ This action is FINAL FOR "TRAISE WRITEL FOR TO are mee on the convention in Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters; prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ______ month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause, the application to become abandoned: (35°U.S°C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR Disposition of Claims finite too table to a particularly wint out to Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) ____ ☐ Claims<u>icove</u> nd Whali are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers : -with respect ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. r 671 leann Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

ø

Serial Number: 08/506,851

Art Unit: 3105

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 2. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§ 102(b) as being anticipated by Wines et al.

The "rinse water inlets" are met by the conventional faucet 36 in that the inlets would be constituted by a well known aerator.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "when the flexible means normally retracted" constitutes broken English. What is the "rest position located above the housing means "and where is it set forth in the specification and likewise with respect to "a variety of extended positions".

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Serial Number: 08/506,851

Art Unit: 3105

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

6. Claims 4, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Wines et al in view of Freikas.

The use of a conventional air pump as shown by Freixas as opposed to an impeller pump would have constituted an obvious expedient as both are widely known.

- 7. Ankeny, Coffman et al and Heel show other portable receptacles.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Phillips at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Phillips:e.h. August 26, 1996 August 27, 1996

CHARLES E. PHILLIPS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3100

28/96